

☒ UNCLASSIFIED☐ INTERNAL ONLY☐ CONFIDENTIAL☒ SECRET

## ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

SUBJECT: (Optional)

FROM:

EXTENSION:

NO.

AC/SB/S/CA

5B-4804

6408

DATE

8 November 1966

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

OFFICER'S INITIALS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

1.	Chief, SB			
2.				
3.				
4.				
5.				
6.				
7.				
8.				
9.				
10.				
11.				
12.				
13.				
14.				
15.				

DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY  
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY  
SOURCE METHOD EXEMPTION 3B2B  
NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT  
DATE 2007

FORM 3-62

610

USE PREVIOUS EDITIONS

☒ SECRET☐ CONFIDENTIAL☐ INTERNAL USE ONLY☐ UNCLASSIFIED

SECRET

MEMORANDUM FOR CHIEF SB

SUBJECT : Peter J. Potichnyj and Proposed Publication of  
Shakhrai's Pamphlet Do Khvyli

REFERENCE: Attached Letters and Comments on Same Subject

1. We do know Peter Potichnyj. He was being considered in 1960 as a REDSKIN/spotter for [ ]. He was given a psychological assessment, signed a Secrecy Agreement, and an OA was granted 12 May 1960. His polygraph results were favorable. He was never used, however, because we wanted him in Uppsala while he was interested in staying in Stockholm. He received a CSA for use in contact operations under [ ]. His CSA was canceled in 1961 as there was no further interest in subject.

2. For a long time there was no known manuscript of Do Khvyli in the West. Prolog searched for one for some time and eventually found one in the hands of an Ukrainian Progressive in Canada by the name of Kravtchuk. Prolog borrowed the manuscript, made two copies of it, and Potichnyj received one from the Prolog contact in Canada. Potichnyj then told Prolog that he had something special (evidently the manuscript) and that he was going to publish it in English in collaboration with Professor Yaroslav Pelensky (American University) and Professor Michael Luther (Hunter College). Prolog told Potichnyj that they too had a copy of the manuscript and that if he had not done something with it by October 10, 1966, Prolog would feel free to make use of their copy. As of last week, Potichnyj had not replied.

3. It appears that Potichnyj, who has collaborated with Prolog in the past, wants to do something on his own. We don't object and probably Prolog will not if Potichnyj publishes the manuscript in English in its entirety. It would be nice if Potichnyj would credit Prolog with having found the manuscript or at least level with them about it. Radio Liberty should not publish the manuscript, in our opinion, as they seem to have a special talent for taking all the punch lines out of publications in Ukrainian.

SECRET

GROUP 1  
Excluded from automatic  
downgrading and  
declassification

**SECRET**

-2-

To summarize, we do know Potichnyj, and we know the manuscript. It would be a good thing if it were published in English in its entirety, and we don't think Radio Liberty should have a hand in its publication.

[ [ AC/SB/S/CA ] ]

Attachment:

Letters and comments on subject

**SECRET**

GROUP 1  
Excluded from automatic  
downgrading and  
declassification